UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/758,180 01/15/2004		Abdelsalam G. Helal	5853-302-1	5596	
30448 AKERMAN SE	7590 02/25/200 ENTERFITT	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 3188	: BEACH, FL 33402-318	WANG, JUE S			
WESTFALMI	DEACH, FL 55402-510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2193		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/25/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/758,180	HELAL ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	JUE S. WANG	2193		

	JUE S. WANG	2193					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 12 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slate forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	sideration and/or search (see NOT v); er form for appeal by materially red	E below); lucing or simplifying th					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: NONE. Claim(s) rejected: 1-39.	bwable if submitted in a separate, t will not be entered, or b) ⊠ will	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>NONE</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after en	itry is below or attache	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (l13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Lewis A. Bullock, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2193							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As per indpendent claim 1, Applicant argued that Blaukoft teaches away from the Office Action's suggested modification because Blaukoft makes clear that the ultimate optimized protocol is generated by the code generator and therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would not modify the Blaukopf system to include a module having a datagram packet application programming interface configured to enable an application developer to specify a length of fragmentation and specify routing of fragmented data to a destination address and a port numer. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered and Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner submits that while the optimized protocol is generated by the code generator, Blaukoft also teaches "The output of the code generator 42, and the optimized protocol 46 (FIG. 2) is influenced by the input data 62, in which the developer 24 (FIG. 1) specifies the protocol with which the mediator servlet 22 is to communicate with the remote service. As mentioned above, the developer 24 has wide latitude to select a standard or non-standard protocol." ([0098], lines 25-31). Therefore, contrary to Applicant's assertion, Blaukoft teaches the developer does specify the protocol and therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Blaukoft with the API as taught by Sun.